Exhibit 1

Case 1:19-cv-05642-LGS-DCF Document 57-1 Filed 12/17/19 Page 2 of 4

JAFKMANC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 MANHATTAN SAFETY MAINE, INC., et al., 4 Plaintiffs, 5 V. 19 CV 5642 (LGS) 6 ARIE GENGER, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 9 New York, N.Y. October 15, 2019 10 10:35 a.m. 11 Before: 12 HON. LORNA G. SCHOFIELD, 13 District Judge 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 POLLOCK COHEN LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs 16 BY: ADAM LEWIS POLLOCK CHRISTOPHER KIN LEUNG 17 GELBER SCHACHTER & GREENBERG PA 18 Attorneys for Defendant Arie Genger BY: NATALIA B. McGINN 19 FREDDY FUNES 2.0 HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP 21 Attorneys for Defendants Arnold Broser, David Broser, ABDG LLC, TEDCO, Inc. 22 BY: WILLIAM R. MAGUIRE CHRISTOPHER CHARLES GARTMAN 23 BRIAN CUNNINGHAM Attorney for Ron Satija 24 25

often, so it's kind of hard to for me to tell how much further we would have to get before that gets resolved, but in terms of just the settlement agreement, the estate's claims on the fraudulent transfer, I imagine we're going to get either approval or denial from the bankruptcy court by December, would be my guess.

I find it hard to imagine it would go beyond that, so

I think we'll either need -- we'll know that we're getting the
settlement approved or that the Court is denying it probably by
the end of this calendar year.

THE COURT: How does this work, the relationship -
I'm not talking to Mr. Cummings anymore, I'm talking to the

folks in this room -- how does this work, the relationship

between that action and this action? If that one gets resolved

first and there's an adjudication of the disposition of the

assets at issue here, then what's point of doing anything here?

MR. POLLOCK: If I may address that?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. POLLOCK: These are separate applications,

separate cases and separate assets.

THE COURT: They are separate assets?

MR. POLLOCK: And separate assets.

The bankruptcy trustee has submitted a document here in which he says that Orly Genger, the debtor, has no claim to the \$32 million at issue. Whatever the assets or debts are in

2.0

that case, are not the assets or debts in this case; in fact, he explicitly says they're separate. And, for that reason, I surmise, the trust in that case has not made an application to stay this case. These are not her assets, and nobody says that they are her assets.

And were the trustee to make an application for a stay, I think that that would clearly be something that would be relevant for us to be discussing. I don't want to hold up this case. And implicit in what I hear him saying is that there would be some kind of limited stay of discovery. I don't want to hold up our work while something happens to adjudicate assets that aren't pertinent in this case.

THE COURT: So here's what I'm going to suggest: As I said, I'm referring this all to Judge Freeman, so I will let her resolve this stay issue. And since it has just come up, this proposal has come up now, I suggest you talk to each other and see if you can agree on something before bringing it to her, and perhaps that will just obviate all of that.

And then I think what I will do is I will set an initial discovery schedule. Judge Freeman, of course, is free to modify that, but at least we will have accomplished something today. We'll have a briefing schedule for the motion. It sounds like it should go forward. And we'll also have a discovery schedule, but if you all can agree to stay discovery except all the historical information, all of that is